



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,566	06/24/2003	Marc T. Burton Sewell		8024
Marc Sewell 108 Summit Dr. Llano, TX 78643	7590 04/07/2009		EXAMINER BRIER, JEFFERY A	
			ART UNIT 2628	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 04/07/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/602,566	SEWELL, MARC T. BURTON	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Jeffery A. Brier, Examiner.

(3) Marc Sewell.

(2) Xiao Wu, SPE.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 April 2009

Time: 1:45 PM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jeffery A. Brier/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2628

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

After receiving an email from Marc Sewell on April 1, 2009 SPE Xiao Wu telephoned Marc Sewell on April 1, 2009 who did not answer his phone and SPE Xiao Wu left a voice message for Marc Sewel to call SPE Xiao Wu.

Today April 6, 2009 Examiner Brier and SPE Xiao Wu telephoned Marc Sewell since the six month due date is on April 8, 2009. He answered the phone call and we had the following discussion regarding his patent application as follows.

Examiner Brier and SPE Wu notified Marc Sewell that he needs to respond to the 10/08/2008 Non-Final Rejection by April 8, 2009 with a three month extension of time fee.

Examiner Brier and SPE Wu notified Marc Sewell that he has the option to file a response or an Appeal Brief by April 8, 2009.

Examiner Brier and SPE Wu notified Marc Sewell that if he fails to respond the application will be abandoned.

Examiner Brier and SPE Wu notified Marc Sewell that if the application becomes abandoned he may petition to revive the abandoned application by following MPEP 711.03.

Examiner Brier and SPE Wu gave upon Marc Sewell's request our director's name and email address.